1	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
2	TONY M. SAIN, SB# 251626 E-Mail: Tony.Sain@lewisbrisbois.com TONY M. SAIN, SB# 251626	
3	TORI L. N. BÁKKEN, SB# 329069 E-Mail: Tori.Bakken@lewisbrisbois.com ABIGAIL J. R. McLAUGHLIN, SB# 313208 E-Mail: Abigail.McLaughlin@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000	
4		
5	Los Angeles, California 90071	
6	Telephone: 213.250.1800 Facsimile: 213.250.7900	
7	Attorneys for Defendant, CHAD BIANCO, in his Official Capacity as the Riverside County Sheriff	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	DAVID PHILLIP VALLEJOS,	Case No. 5:25-CV-00350-SPG-E [Hon. Sherilyn Peace Garnett, Dist.
14	Plaintiff,	Judge; Hon. Charles F. Eick, M. Judge]
15	VS.	DECLARATION OF ABIGAIL J. R.
16	ROB BONTA, in his Official Capacity as the Attorney General of the State of	MCLAUGHLIN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT SHERIFF CHAD
17	California, and CHAD BIANCO, in his Official Capacity as the Riverside	BIANCO'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE
18	County Sheriff,	HEARING ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
19	Defendants.	INJUNCTION FROM APRIL 2, 2025 TO APRIL 30, 2025 AND RELATED
20		DEADLINES DUE TO LACK OF NOTICE
21		1101102
22		Filed Concurrently with Ex Parte Application; [Proposed] Order
23		Action Filed: February 7. 2025
24		
25	I, Abigail J. R. McLaughlin, declare as follows:	
26	1. I am an attorney duly admitted to practice in all of the courts of the State	
27	of California and I am a partner with Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP, attorneys	
	of record for Defendant CHAD BIANCO, in his Official Capacity as the Riverside	

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP 28

998.1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

County Sheriff ("Sheriff Bianco") herein. The facts set forth herein are of my own personal knowledge, unless otherwise stated that they are upon information and belief, and if sworn I could and would competently testify thereto.

- 4 2. Notice of Ex Parte Application & Plaintiff's Opposition. On March 5 11, 2025, I called Plaintiff DAVID VALLEJOS ("Plaintiff", proceeding pro se) regarding Sheriff Bianco's request to continue the hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for 6 7 Preliminary Injunction ("Motion"). I explained to Plaintiff that Sheriff Bianco and I 8 had not been notified regarding the Court's deadline for Oppositions to Plaintiff's 9 Motion, as Sheriff Bianco had not yet appeared in this litigation, and I had been 10 recently retained. Nonetheless, Plaintiff stated that he would not agree to any extension. I informed Plaintiff that we would then need to bring an emergency request 11 for relief as to such continuance. 12
 - 3. Immediately after that call, I sent a confirming e-mail to Plaintiff regarding this *Ex Parte* Application and Plaintiff's Opposition thereto. Plaintiff confirmed again that he would oppose any request for continuance as to the Motion. A true and correct copy of that e-mail correspondence is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
 - 4. Sheriff Bianco's Diligence & Lack of Notification of Opposition Deadline. Upon information and belief, due to County of Riverside procedures, the Riverside County Sheriff's Professional Standards Bureau did not receive the Plaintiff's Complaint and Plaintiff's Motion until February 25, 2025. A true and correct copy of the stamped document reflecting the Riverside County Sheriff's Professional Standards Bureau receipt of these documents is attached hereto as Exhibit "B".
 - 5. On or about March 3, 2025, Sheriff Bianco officially retained LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP as his counsel regarding this matter.
 - 6. As of the date of this *Ex Parte* Application, Sheriff Bianco (and, of note, Defendant Rob Bonta, in his Official Capacity as Attorney General of the State of

1

5

9

11 12

10

14

15

13

16 17

19

18

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

California) has not made an appearance in this matter. Rather, his first appearance in this matter is the timely filing of his Answer on this same date: March 11, 2025. Thus, at no point prior to March 11, 2025 was Sheriff Bianco (or his counsel) receiving notifications about this matter.

- 7. Accordingly, on March 3, 2025, when this Court issued its Scheduling Notice and Order regarding Plaintiff's Motion, setting it for hearing on April 2, 2025 and, pursuant to Local Rules 7-9 and 7-10, scheduling defendants' Opposition to be due on March 12, 2025 and Plaintiff's Reply, if any, to be due on March 19, 2025, Sheriff Bianco (and his counsel) was not notified.
- 8. Rather, on March 11, 2025, I was checking the docket to ensure Sheriff Bianco's Answer would be timely filed and discovered for the first time that defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion was due on March 12, 2025 – approximately twenty-four (24) hours later.
- 9. While I had checked the docket prior to March 11, 2025, such was before the Court issued its March 3, 2025 Scheduling Notice and Order regarding Plaintiff's Additionally, due to the Clerk's Notice of Clerical Error [Dkt. 11, Motion. incorporated herein by reference], it was my understanding that Judge Eick was to handle all preliminary matters and conduct all further matters, including first reviewing Plaintiff's Complaint for any deficiencies due to Plaintiff's pro se status. Thus, as no hearing had been scheduled on Plaintiff's Motion and Plaintiff's Complaint was under review, I did not believe there were any pending deadlines on Plaintiff's Motion at that point in time.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on this 11th day of March, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.

> /s/ Abigail J.R. McLaughlin Abigail J. R. McLaughlin, Esq.